No. 1D/FD/176/82/58080.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Rajbir Singh and the management of M/s The Palwal Central Co-operative Consumer Store Ltd. Palwal, (Faridabad), regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (i) section 10 of the Industrial Tribunal, Haryana, Farldabad, \*constituted under section 7-A of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:

Whether the termination of service of Shri Rajbir Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

No. ID/GGN/124/82/58087.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Kalak Bahadur and the management of M/s Gold Field Manufacturing Company, Chander Nagar, Gurgaon, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to the Labour Court, Paridabad, constituted,—vide Government notification No. 11495-G-Lab/57/11245, dated 7th February, 1958 read with notification No. 5414-3Lab-68/15254, dated 20th June, 1968 under section 7 of the said Act, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Kalak Bahadur was justified and in order?

If not, to what rollef is he entitled?

No. ID/BHN/92/82/58231.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workman Shri Prem Singh and the management of M/s Haryana Roadways, Jind, regarding the matter hereinafter appearing;

And whereas the Governor of Haryana considers it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (i) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana hereby refers to Labour Court, Rohtak, constituted, under section 7 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947,—vide Government notification No. 3864-ASO(E)Lab-70/13648, dated 8th May, 1970 read with Government notification No. 9641-I-Lab-70/32573, dated 6th November, 1970, the matter specified below being either matter in dispute or matter relevant to or connected with the dispute as between the said management and the workman for adjudication:—

Whether the termination of service of Shri Prem Singh was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

V. S. CHAUDHRI,

Deputy Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour Department.

## PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT BUILDINGS AND ROADS BRANCH The 12th January, 1983

No. 4-417-B&R(Estt)-6-81.—In pursuance of the provisions under Rule 8(9) of the Punjab Service of Engineers, Class I, P.W.D. (B. & R.) Branch Rules, 1960, the Governor of Haryana is pleased to declare, in consultation with the Haryana Public Service Commission, Shri S. R. Batra, Officiating Executive Engineer, as suitable for appointment to the Haryana Service of Engineers, Class-I (Civil) P.W.D., B.&R. Branch, as per recommendation of the Screening Committee meeting held on 4th December, 1978.

This will be without prejudice to the claims of other officers who may be considered suitable for promotion,

K. K. SHARMA, Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana, PWD, B&R Branch.